

VIRGINIA: AT THE SPECIAL MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD IN THE BOARD ROOM OF THE PAMPLIN ADMINISTRATION BUILDING IN DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, ON THE 15<sup>th</sup> DAY OF AUGUST 2006 AT 12:30 P.M.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

PRESENT: DORETHA E. MOODY – CHAIR ELECTION DISTRICT #4  
MICHAEL W. STONE - VICE CHAIR ELECTION DISTRICT #5  
DONALD L. HARAWAY ELECTION DISTRICT #2  
HARRISON A. MOODY ELECTION DISTRICT #1

ADMINISTRATION

PRESENT: W. KEVIN MASSENGILL, COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR  
MICHAEL DREWRY, COUNTY ATTORNEY  
ANNE HOWERTON, FINANCE DIRECTOR

=====

Ms. Moody called the Board of Supervisors meeting to order at 12:30 p.m.

**1. ROLL CALL:**

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

PRESENT: Mr. Moody  
Mr. Haraway  
Mr. Stone  
Ms. Moody

**2. VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION**

Mr. Ray Varney, Resident Engineer, presented two items to the Board in their packets: the Secondary Six Year Plan and the detailed budget. He asked the Board to look at the budget detail under *Item #2 Rt. 601 – Intersection Improvement – Add Right Turn Lane*, and underneath it is a section that says *Funding Detail*. In the Funding Detail there are four categories of money; the first one being *Formula Secondary Mixed*. He stated that is purely State dollars. The second line is *Telecommunications: Local* and he said that some projects have that money on them. The third category is *State Formula: State Match Required* which is State money; however it is money that has to be used to match Federal dollars. The fourth category is STP Formula: Federal. That category shows how much Federal money is being used per project. It must be used on federally eligible projects. Mr. Varney stated that is a huge change in the way VDOT does business. He said that it is unfortunate that this came about at a time when the County already had a Secondary Six Year Plan approved. He said that one of the big changes is that Baltimore Road (Rt. 622) is not on the new plan. The reason is because it does not meet the guidelines of being federally funded (a major collector or above). He discussed Squirrel Level Road (Rt. 613) and the fact that it has been moved out to FY 2011. He said that in the budget that was approved for the County at the end of the year, a lot of the money that would have been used for federal projects were put on this particular non-federally funded project.

Mr. Varney stated that he had taken every available non-federal dollar and put it on Squirrel Level Road (Rt. 613) and funded it as much as possible with non-federal dollars in order to keep it on the plan. The two ways of funding are with *Formula Secondary Mixed* and *Telecommunications*.

He said that what needed to be decided regarding the Secondary Six Year Plan that would go to public hearing later in the year was whether that was the way the County wanted to proceed. He said that the preliminary engineering and the right-of-way, including the utility relocation, were almost completely done.

There was further discussion regarding the Dinwiddie County gravel roads and projects on the Plan. Mr. Varney said that each of the counties distributions is based on the number of miles of gravel road and on population.

Mr. Moody asked Mr. Varney for a reappraisal of Baltimore Road.

Mr. Varney said that a public hearing for the Secondary Six Year Plan would be needed by the end of November. He added that the Board needs to consider what the next federal project will be regarding the roads.

Mr. Varney stated that right now Sutherland Road is not a collector and could not be funded easily. He was asked about Namozine Road, and answered that he was not sure. He said that Boisseau Bridge, or at least the part of the bridge that needs replacement, may possibly be funded by federal dollars. He said that the Board may

want to consider Ferndale from River Road to Cox Road. They are the two most heavily used secondary roads in the County.

Mr. Varney stated a consensus was needed from the Board as to whether there are changes needed to the current plan, or to move forward with the revised plan. This will need to be considered at the September Board meeting.

### **3. CLOSED SESSION**

At 2:10 p.m. upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Haraway,

The Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia convened in a closed meeting under:

- a.) §2.2-3711 (A) (1) Personnel: Waste Management;
- b.) §2.2-3711 (A) (7) Legal Matters: Waste Contract

Ayes: Mr. Moody, Mr. Haraway, Mr. Stone, Ms. Moody  
Nays: None

Upon motion of Mr. Stone, seconded by Mr. Moody,

The Board reconvened into open session.

### **CERTIFICATION**

WHEREAS, this Board convened in a closed meeting under:

- a.) §2.2-3711 (A) (1) Personnel: Waste Management;
- b.) §2.2-3711 (A) (7) Legal Matters: Waste Contract

AND WHEREAS, no member has made a statement that there was a departure from the lawful purpose of such closed meeting or the matters identified in the motion were discussed,

NOW BE IT CERTIFIED, that only those matters as were identified in the motion were heard, discussed or considered in the meeting.

Upon motion of Mr. Haraway, seconded by Mr. Moody, this Certification Resolution was adopted.

Ayes: Mr. Moody, Mr. Haraway, Mr. Stone, Ms. Moody  
Nays: None

### **4. ADJOURNMENT**

Upon motion of Mr. Stone, seconded by Mr. Moody,

The special meeting was adjourned at 3:25 p.m.

Ayes: Mr. Moody, Mr. Haraway, Mr. Stone, Ms. Moody  
Nays: None

\_\_\_\_\_  
Doretha E. Moody, Chair

ATTEST: \_\_\_\_\_  
W. Kevin Massengill  
County Administrator  
Clerk to the Board

/wjn