

VIRGINIA: AT THE SPECIAL MEETING OF THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HELD AT THE DINWIDDIE COUNTY HIGH SCHOOL AUDITORIUM 11501 BOISSEAU ROAD, IN DINWIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA, ON THE 12<sup>TH</sup> DAY OF MAY, 2009 AT 6:00 P.M.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS  
PRESENT:

DONALD L. HARAWAY – CHAIR	ELECTION DISTRICT #2
HARRISON A. MOODY – VICE CHAIR	ELECTION DISTRICT #1
MICHAEL W. STONE	ELECTION DISTRICT #5
DORETHA E. MOODY	ELECTION DISTRICT #4
JOHN V. TALMAGE	ELECTION DISTRICT #3

ADMINISTRATION

PRESENT: W. KEVIN MASSENGILL, COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR  
ANNE HOWERTON, DIVISION CHIEF OF FINANCE & GENERAL SERVICES  
JOHN C. BLAIR II, COUNTY ATTORNEY

=====

**1. ROLL CALL**

The Chairman of the Board of Supervisors called the meeting to order at 6:00 p.m., followed by the roll call.

**PRESENT:** Mr. Stone  
Mr. Talmage  
Ms. Moody  
Mr. Moody  
Mr. Haraway

**2. AMENDMENTS TO AGENDA**

W. Kevin Massengill, County Administrator, stated there was a need to amend the agenda to add Potential Litigation and Contract Negotiations under Item number 3. Closed Session: §2.2-3711 (A) (7) Legal Consultation

Upon motion of Mr. Talmage, seconded by Mr. Moody,

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the agenda is amended as stated.

Ayes: Mr. Stone, Ms. Moody, Mr. Talmage, Mr. Moody, Mr. Haraway  
Nays: None

**3. CLOSED SESSION**

At 6 p.m. upon motion of Mr. Moody, seconded by Mr. Talmage,

The Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia convened in a closed meeting under:

a. *§2.2-3711 (A) (7) Consultation with Legal Counsel:*

- Board of Supervisors Policies
- Potential Ligation
- Contract Negotiations

Ayes: Mr. Stone, Mr. Talmage, Ms. Moody, Mr. Moody, Mr. Haraway

Nays: None

At 7:00 p.m. upon motion of Mr. Talmage, seconded by Mr. Stone, the Board reconvened into open session.

**CERTIFICATION**

WHEREAS, this Board convened in a closed meeting under:

b. *§2.2-3711 (A) (7) Consultation with Legal Counsel:*

- Board of Supervisors Policies
- Potential Ligation
- Contract Negotiations

AND WHEREAS, no member has made a statement that there was a departure from the lawful purpose of such closed meeting or the matters identified in the motion were discussed,

NOW BE IT CERTIFIED, that only those matters as were identified in the motion were heard, discussed or considered in the meeting.

Upon motion of Mr. Stone, seconded by Mr. Moody, this Certification Resolution was adopted.

Ayes: Ms. Moody, Mr. Talmage, Mr. Stone, Mr. Moody, Mr. Haraway

Nays: None

**4. A. PUBLIC HEARING: FY 09/10 COUNTY BUDGET**

W. Kevin Massengill, County Administrator, presented the information below to the Board.

**FY 2009 / 2010 PROPOSED BUDGET**

***Revenue: Total Budgeted Revenue from All Sources:***

Past 3 Years:

- FY07/08 Budget \$35,622,426
- FY08/09 Budget \$38,608,423
- FY09/10 Budget \$36,968,212

Mr. Massengill discussed the following:

**Local Revenue Analysis:** general property taxes; other local taxes; permits and fees; court fines and forfeitures; use of money/property; charges for services; and miscellaneous revenue/recovered cost.

**State Revenue Analysis:** variances were discussed between the 2009 Budget and the FY10 Budget for the following: non-categorical aid; victim witness; Commonwealth's Attorney; Sheriff; Commissioner of the Revenue; Treasurer; Medical Examiner; Registrar; Clerk of Circuit Court; and other categorical aid.

**Federal Revenue Analysis:** Federal 2009 Budget = \$1,800; Federal FY10 Budget = \$39,890 – Variance = \$38,090

**Transfers From Other Funds:** 2009 Budget = \$38,750; FY10 Budget = \$0 – Variance = (\$38,750)

**Revenue Projection Assumptions:** downward trends in revenue will continue in FY10; overall conservative revenue projections - new construction flat; pro-ration revenue unknown; collection rates have been adjusted to reflect economy.

**Expenditure Highlights: Budget Goal -** *Develop an overall budget that is aligned with the current conditions of the local economy, yet allows for the continuation of core essential services and programs that the citizens demand and expect.*

**Items Reviewed:** all County operations and spending; staffing; systematic replacement and purchase (capital outlay); school commitment; outside agency requests; capital improvements program.

**FY 2009/2010 Proposed Budget:** no new initiatives; no additional employees; efficiency review implementation; salary reduction 3% (each employee FT, PT, contractual & Board of Supervisors); health insurance increases passed to employees; operational capital significantly reduced; systematic replacement items will be postponed (school buses, police cars, computer equipment, etc.); outside agency contributions (level funded contractual agencies, average of 5% reduction to others); increase in utilities and fuel; no contingency funds allocated; projected revenue exceeds operational commitments.

**Capital Improvements Program FY10:** Phase 1 Sports Complex reduced to approximately \$500,000 (prior appropriations = \$1.7M); Ford Volunteer Fire Department additional funds of \$1M.

**General Fund Expenditures Analysis:** Rationale was discussed for FY10 changes to all departments – as were transfers to other funds.

Mr. Massengill stated that the presentation and other County budget documents are available on the County website: [www.dinwiddieva.us](http://www.dinwiddieva.us)

Ms. Moody stated that she would like the \$500,000 that was in the budget for work to be done at the Sports Complex be transferred instead to restore the 3% in salary reductions to employees. The 3% reduction amounts equal \$240,000. Ms. Moody originally stated that she would like this to be made as a motion. However, as the meeting this night was a public hearing with no action to be taken, she deferred until the next regular meeting.

Mr. Haraway stated that he could understand Ms. Moody's comment as it did not seem right for the County employees to receive a 3% reduction in pay when the School Board employees are not receiving a reduction in pay. Also, he stated that no other locality in the tri-city area is reducing employee's pay. Mr. Haraway said he has a concern for those employees who received only a 3% increase last year who would then

receive a 3% reduction this year. That would mean that over a 2 year period they would not receive any increase in pay. Mr. Haraway said also that although he was in favor of Ms. Moody's suggestion, he still had some very serious concerns about the salary budget.

Mr. Moody commented that he agreed that it would be a morale issue for County staff to receive a reduction in salaries, and the School Board employees not receive a decrease. He stated that also that the surrounding jurisdictions are not reducing employee salaries. He said, however, he was not sure that he was in favor of the funds being transferred from the Sports Complex in order to facilitate the restoration of the 3%.

Mr. Talmage stated that he felt the County had an excellent staff and that they deserve to have the proposed 3% salary reduction restored. He agreed with Mr. Moody that he would not want to see those funds be taken from the budgeted funds for the Sports Complex.

The Chair opened the public hearing in the matter of the FY 2009/2010 County Budget. He asked that remarks be addressed only regarding the County Budget. He asked that County staff not be criticized during this time. He said that if anyone has a complaint regarding staff to please see Mr. Massengill, County Administrator, or him, as Chairman of the Board of Supervisors, and they would be glad to make an appointment in order to discuss the complaint.

1. John Wamsley - 2619 Oxford Drive – Sutherland – said that during the presentation a lot of the questions he had were answered. He asked that funds not be reduced from the Recreation Department. He expressed concern about the Reverse 911 Call system; that positions who had received the pay increase last fall should not have the 3% restored; questioned the vacancy positions that had been advertised; and questioned funds going to outside agencies.

As there was no one else signed up to speak, the Chair closed the public hearing in this matter.

#### **4. B. PUBLIC HEARING: FY 09/10 THROUGH FY13/14 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN BUDGET**

The Chair opened the public hearing in the matter of the FY 2009/2010 Through FY 2013 through 2014 Capital Improvement Plan Budget.

1. Alvin Lee Langley – 8113 Siding Drive – Church Road – spoke in favor of the C.I.P. project for the building of the new Ford Volunteer Fire Station. He stated that Dinwiddie County needs an adequate fire station in the Ford area. He said that over the last four years County personnel, Ford Volunteer Fire Department volunteers, citizens, the state department and delegates have worked diligently to get this project underway. To date \$160,000 has been spent. He stated that he appreciated that the project is still in the C.I.P. and encouraged the Board to vote in favor of this project at the next meeting when the County Budget and the C.I.P. will be voted on.

As no one else was signed up to speak, the Chair closed the public hearing in this matter.

#### **5. ADJOURNMENT**

Upon motion of Mr. Stone to adjourn, seconded by Mr. Talmage,  
The meeting was adjourned at 8:03 p.m.

---

Donald L. Haraway, Chairman

ATTEST: \_\_\_\_\_  
W. Kevin Massengill  
County Administrator  
Clerk to the Board

/wjn