VIRGINIA: AT A REGULAR MEETING OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF DIN-WIDDIE COUNTY, VIRGINIA HELD IN THE BOARD MEETING ROOM OF THE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, DINWIDDIE, VIRGINIA ON

THE 18TH DAY OF MAY, 1983 AT 8:00 P.M.

PRESENT:

STEVE WEBER, CHAIRMAN G.S. BENNETT, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN ELECTION DISTRICT #2 ELECTION DISTRICT #1 ELECTION DISTRICT #2
ELECTION DISTRICT #3
ELECTION DISTRICT #4

G.E. ROBERTSON, JR. M.I. HARGRAVE, JR. A.S. CLAY

L.G. ELDER COUNTY ATTORNEY CLAIBORNE FISHER DEPUTY SHERIFF

IN RE:

INVOCATION

The Reverend Wilson G. Conwell, Pastor, Smyrna Baptist Church, delivered the Invocation.

IN RE: MINUTES

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber voting "aye", the minutes of the May 4, 1983 regular meeting were approved as presented.

IN RE: CLAIMS

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber voting "aye"

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia, that the following claims be approved: General Fund checks-numbering 83-861 through 83-981 amounting to \$73,334.73.

PUBLIC HEARING--1983-84 BUDGET AND 1983 TAX RATE IN RE:

This being the time and place as advertised in the Progress-Index on Wednesday, May 4, 1983 and Wednesday, May 11, 1983 for the Board of Supervisors to conduct a public hearing to receive public input on the 1983-84 budget and the 1983 tax rate.

The County Administrator outlined the income and expenses and highlighted significant changes over last year's budget. stated no increase in tax rates had been advertised.

The Chairman opened the hearing for public input. following people made comments or asked questions about the budget:

Mrs. Cornelia Roberts spoke in support of the Appomattox Regional Library budget request; Mr. Raymond McCants urged the Board to consider a central garage for repair of county vehicles.

Mr. Hargrave commented that the heating and air main-tenance was not a new item and the real increase in that category is for needed repairs to county buildings. He also expressed his appreciation to the School Board for their work in trying to trim their budget and make a more efficient system. Mr. Robertson stated he would like to echo Mr. Hargrave's comments.

Mr. Weber commented that the Board had worked hard on the budget to keep taxes down and he wanted to thank the members and the School Board for their work.

There being no other comments, the public hearing was closed.

SPECIAL MEETING TO ADOPT 1983-84 BUDGET AND 1983 TAX IN RE: RATE

The Chairman stated that the Board could meet again in

**BOOK** 8 May 18, 1983 PAGE 123

seven days which would be May 25 to consider the budget and the tax rate or wait until the next regular meeting which would be June 15. Mr. Edward Titmus, Chairman, School Board, stated that the School Board would like to send out teacher contracts and have them returned before the end of school, and if the Board waited until the June 15 meeting, it would be after the close of school.

Mr. Hargrave moved that the meeting be adjourned until May 26, 1983 at 8:00 P.M. to consider the 1983-84 budget and 1983 tax rate. Mr. Robertson seconded the motion. Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber voted "aye".

## IN RE: APPOINTMENTS--SCHOOL BOARD

The Chairman stated that the terms of Mr. Edward Titmus and Mr. Harry Clay on the School Board expire June 30, 1983. Both appointments are from election district #2.

Mr. Robertson stated that it has been a difficult time to address the issue. In 1980, when he came on the Board of Supervisors, he did not realize the outstanding service that the School Board provides. The School Board affects the citizens and most importantly our children. He felt it is one of the most important decisions he would make as a Board member. He stated he had given time and effort to determine the right thing for Dinwiddie County and the betterment of education. He added that his evaluation does not reflect on any member of the School Board. Mr. Titmus has served since 1969 and given fourteen years and Mr. Clay has served eight years. He stated that in some cases, he felt the School Board has more responsibility than the Board of Supervisors. He expressed his appreciation to both Mr. Titmus and Mr. Clay for their efforts and stated he hoped they would continue to serve Dinwiddie County in the same manner. Mr. Robertson stated he had spent a considerable amount of time on the recommendations, had asked for resumes and interviewed each one.

Mr. Robertson nominated Mr. Donald L. Haraway for appointment to the School Board, term expiring June 30, 1987. He then read Mr. Haraway's resume.

At this point the Chairman turned the Chair over to Mr. Bennett, the Vice-Chairman who asked if there were any other nominations.

Mr. Weber stated he had received a considerable amount of input and thanked the citizens that called and contacted him. He indicated the appointments are a hard decision to make because there are a lot of capable people in the County. He stated that he was basing his decision on what he thinks is best for education in the County.

 $\mbox{\rm Mr.}$  Weber nominated  $\mbox{\rm Mr.}$  Edward Titmus for reappointment to the School Board.

Mr. Robertson moved that the nominations be closed. Mr. Weber seconded the motion. Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave voted "aye".

Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave voting "aye", Mr. Edward Titmus and Mr. Donald Haraway were appointed to the Dinwiddie School Board, terms expiring June 30, 1987.

Mr. Hargrave commented that it would have been helpful to have known who the prospective people may have been in order to personally know them. He indicated he felt handicapped. He added that he respected Mr. Robertson's efforts and echoed his comments about the importance of the School Board appointments.

Mr. Weber reassumed the Chair.

---

IN RE: ENTERTAINMENT PERMIT--THOMAS E. MANSON

The Director of Planning presented an application from Mr. Thomas E. Manson for a Special Entertainment Permit to hold a music festival on June 18, 1983 from 10 P.M. to 3 A.M. The event will be held at the Church Road Ball Park on Rt. 629 where Mr. Manson held a similar event last year on July 23.

Mr. Clay asked if there had been any problems last year.

The Director of Planning stated the only problem was that the music didn't stop last year when it was supposed to. Mr. Manson cut his music off but then a neighbor turned his music on.

Mr. Hargrave asked if events of this type had been allowed until 3:00 A.M. The Director of Planning stated they have usually been allowed until 2:00 A.M. He stated Mr. Manson held two events last year and the neighbors were usually part of the crowd.

 $\,$  Mr. Bennett stated that the area being discussed is in his district and he had no problem with the request. He added that he did not hear of any problems last year. He indicated Mr. Manson had assured him that he would stop the music at the proper time.

Mr. Manson was present in support of his request. He stated he would stop the music at anytime the Board designated. He added that after he stopped his music last year, the neighbor turned his on.

Mr. Bennett stated he had no problem with the time because the location was in an area to itself.

Upon motion of Mr. Bennett, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber voting "aye", Mr. Thomas E. Manson was granted an Entertainment Permit to hold a music festival on June 18, 1983 subject to the conditions stated therein.

IN RE: REAPPOINTMENT--CPDC EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE AND METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber voting "aye", Mr. Robertson abstaining, Mr. George E. Robertson, Jr. was reappointed to the Crater Planning District Commission Executive Committee and the Metropolitan Planning Organization, terms expiring June 30, 1984.

IN RE: SCHOOL BOARD--CONSULTANT TO CORRECT ASBESTOS PROBLEM

Dr. Richard L. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, appeared before the Board to request authorization to employ a consultant to develop specifications and secure bids and for the School Board to award a contract to correct the problem of asbestos in the auditorium at the Senior High School. He added that no funds are available in the School Board budget because the information was not available at the time their budget was made.

Mr. Clay asked if he had any idea what the cost might be. Dr. Vaughn indicated he did not.

Mr. Hargrave indicated that this was a material used commonly all over the State and it seems that the State Department of Education would have a canned approach to deal with it. Dr. Vaughn advised him they had been in touch with the State and also that there might be federal funds for reimbursement when they become available. Mr. George Soloe, Superintendent of Maintenance, stated that the work must be done by EPA guidelines and the State doesn't handle that. Mr. Hargrave stated he was just surprised that the State couldn't act as the consultant.

Mr. Robertson asked if Dr. Vaughn had looked into the bonding on the school. Dr. Vaughn stated he had not but he would.

Mr. Hargrave asked what the consultant fee would be. Dr. Vaughn stated he didn't know at this point. Mr. Soloe stated that removal of the asbestos had been quoted at 6 to 12/sq. ft.

Mr. Robertson asked if they would be signing a blank check. Dr. Vaughn stated no, that he would come back to the Board when the bids come in.

Mr. Hargrave asked if he had considered interviewing several consultants, because dealing with asbestos is an every day event.

Dr. Vaughn indicated they were considering a consultant they know and have been working with and felt it could be done quicker than taking time to interview several.

Mr. Weber felt the Board would be saving money the quicker the School Board can get started.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber voting "aye",

BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the School Board be authorized to employ a consultant to develop specifications and secure bids to consider to correct the problem of asbestos in the auditorium at the Senior High School; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that upon receipt of the bids, the School Board return to the Board of Supervisors for authorization to award a contract.

IN RE: SOUND SYSTEM--BOARD MEETING ROOM

The County Administrator presented proposals to install an enunciator panel and upgrade the sound system in the meeting room. These quotations are based on State contract. The proposal consists of seven microphones, an amplifier, and a mixer if the present one is not sufficient. He added that a reel to reel tape recorder had been included but would probably not be needed at the present time. He stated he would have to wait until the system was installed to see if a new tape recorder was needed. The total cost to upgrade the sound system would be \$1188.86. The enunciator panel would be an additional \$300. He added that the present microphones were area mikes and you therefore need to be close to speak. The proposal includes directional mikes which should pick up from a further distance.

Mr. Robertson moved that the County Administrator be authorized to proceed with the improvement of the sound system and the addition of the enunciator panel as described in the quotation. Mr. Hargrave stated he would second the motion for the improvement of the sound system but not the enunciator panel. Mr. Clay and Mr. Bennett indicated they did not see the need for an enunciator panel. Mr. Weber stated he felt they should have an opportunity to see how it works but he would go along with what the other members wanted.

Mr. Robertson amended his motion to include authorization to proceed with improvement of the sound system only for 1188.86. Mr. Hargrave seconded the motion. Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber voted "aye".

IN RE: RECESS

The Board recessed at 9:36 P.M. The meeting reconvened at 9:52 P.M.

IN RE: EXECUTIVE SESSION

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber voting "aye", pursuant to Sec. 2.1-344(1) of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act, the Board moved into Executive Session at 9:53 P.M. to discuss personnel matters. Open Session at 10:00 P.M. The meeting reconvened into

TRAINING SESSION--COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR IN RE:

The County Administrator stated that the registration for The Cavalier included in the claims is for a training session in June for County Administrators in Virginia Beach. The Board approved the County Administrator's participation and felt his expenses should be paid by the County.

NACO MEETING--MILWAUKEE IN RE:

The County Administrator asked if any of the Board members wanted to attend the NACO meeting in Milwaukee. None of the members stated they could attend.

IN RE: INFORMATION

The following information was included in the Board material for the May 18, 1983 meeting:

1: 1983-84 Construction Allocations for the Secondary Roads System.

> Notice on public hearings to be held at the June 15 2.

meeting.

3. Memo from the Va. Assoc. of Counties concerning:
a) Salaries for County Supervisors b) New Intergovernmental Review System to Replace A-95 Review c) General Revenue Sharing
4. Letter from CPDC concerning EDA Jobs Act Project

News Release: Federal Aid to States Registers First

Decline.

6. Letter from Prince George County regarding sewage handling regulations.

7. Letter and resolution from Grayson County regarding

HB 542 and a copy of HB 542.

- 8. Letter from Washington County and resolution asking the General Assembly to provide an additional sales tax levy for improvements of educational services.
- Letter and resolution from Washington County regarding 9: federal gas tax increase.
- Letter from Youth Services Commission to C&P Telephone 10.
- and to Board of Supervisors declining donation of van.
  11. Letter from Va. Div. of Industrial Development on Va. Community Certification workshops.

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber voting "aye", the meeting was adjourned until 8:00 P.M., May 26, 1983.

MAY 26, 1983--8:00 P.M.--CONTINUATION OF MAY 18, 1983 MEETING

STEVE WEBER, CHAIRMAN PRESENT:

G.S. BENNETT, JR., VICE-CHAIRMAN

G.E. ROBERTSÓN, JŔ. M.I. HARGRAVE, JR.

A.S. CLAY

ELECTION DISTRICT #2

ELECTION DISTRICT #1

ELECTION DISTRICT #4

#2

#3

ELECTION DISTRICT

ELECTION DISTRICT

L.G. ELDER

COUNTY ATTORNEY

ABSENT:

C.L. MITCHELL

SHERIFF

IN RE:

INVOCATION

The Reverend Bobby Lamb, Pastor, Trinity Methodist Church,

repairing the doors and installing new doors at the Dinwiddie VFD building. He stated they were well recommended companies. Mr. Robertson asked if any local people were given the opportunity to bid. The County Administrator stated he didn't know any local people who could do the work. He indicated he could not locate any who specialize in doors. He could have contacted the individual who built the building but he would not be a door specialist.

Mr. Hargrave stated the present doors were put up with inadequate sealing and asked if the proposals included proper sealing.

The County Administrator stated the proposals included doing the best job possible to cut down on heat loss and fixing the doors so they will not spring back and hang the vehicles as they are leaving the building.

The proposals presented are as follows:

- 1. Apple Door Systems Install New Doors \$2656
   Repair Existing Doors \$1721
- 2. J.S. Archer Co.
   Install New Doors \$3130
   Repair Existing Doors \$2600

 $\,$  Mr. Robertson asked if the Dinwiddie fire department had been consulted. The County Administrator indicated a representative was present when the firms reviewed the work to be done.

Mr. Hargrave stated he would like to see new doors installed. He added that the parts from the old doors might be suitable for doors in other fire departments.

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber voting "aye", the bid of Apple Door Systems for \$2656 to install new doors without the electric operator at the Dinwiddie VFD building was accepted.

## IN RE: SURPLUS COUNTY PROPERTY

The County Administrator advised the Board that a lot of surplus items have accumulated in the attic of the Courthouse, the rear of the old jail and other buildings that need to be placed in one area and inventoried for sale. At this point, he was asking only for the Board's concurrence to proceed with making a list and moving the items to one location. Once that is accomplished, he will come back for authorization for a sale.

Mr. Robertson asked if the items would all be placed in one location under security to look at to see what can be sold. The County Administrator stated all the department heads will review the items. Mr. Robertson asked if the historical committee could look at the items. The County Administrator stated they could. Mr. Robertson indicated he wanted to make sure the items will be secure so they won't walk away. Mr. Hargrave asked where the items would be kept.

The County Administrator stated the items would be moved to an area where they can be secured. He might secure an area in one of the schools during the summer. He added he would do everything possible to secure the area. A lot of the items can be thrown away.

Mr. Hargrave stated he felt it was a good move. Mr. Bennett agreed. Mr. Bennett stated he would like to see one big sale with the School Board, fire departments and other departments that have surplus property they want to sell.

IN RE: REGIONAL BIDDING

The County Administrator distributed copies of an Invitation to Bid on gas and fuel oil for the localities of Dinwiddie, Hopewell, Petersburg and Colonial Heights. This is a result of a meeting held between the localities to discuss purchasing on a regional level. Gas and fuel oil is being focused on for a trial basis. The County has the following options:

1. Accept the bid for all five localities.

2. Accept the bid for Dinwiddie County alone.

3. Reject the first two and bid again.

He added that the items will be bid separately by locality and as a whole.

Mr. Hargrave asked the County Administrator if he saw any negative aspects. The County Administrator stated he did not see any to proceeding with the bids. Mr. Hargrave indicated that he could see a possibility in the future of having a little less influence on suppliers, being one of many. Mr. Robertson stated he saw all positive aspects.

Dr. Richard L. Vaughn, Superintendent of Schools, asked what the length of the contract would be. The County Administrator stated it would be a year but the cost would fluctuate with the price changes. He added that whether the schools would be included is subject to the Superintendent's and the School Board's review.

Mr. Clay asked the County Administrator if this type of bidding would make his job easier as Purchasing Agent. The County Administrator stated it would not make it more difficult. Any problems would be outweighed by the savings. Mr. Weber stated he hoped it would result in a savings.

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Weber voting "aye", the County Administrator was authorized to proceed with bidding the gas and fuel oil for the County on a regional basis.

IN RE: AUDIT CONTRACT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber voting "aye", the following resolution was adopted:

WHEREAS, revised specifications of the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Virginia, requires that a contract between the County and its auditing firm be filed with the State Auditor's office; and

WHEREAS, these revised specifications will cause an increase in fees from \$9500 to \$10,200 for the present year due to the additional audit procedures for Federal programs; and

WHEREAS, a contract has been prepared by the County's audit firm, Robinson, Farmer and Cox to meet these new specifications;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Board of Supervisors of Dinwiddie County, Virginia that the Chairman be authorized to execute the auditing contract with Robinson, Farmer and Cox on behalf of the County.

IN RE: CONOVER ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE

The County Administrator distributed a letter from Mrs. Corrine P. Hudgins, Registrar for the State Historic Preservation Officer, Virginia Historic Landmarks Commission, concerning the Conover Archaeological Site located in the Carson vicinity of the County. The letter stated that for some time, the Commission has been interested in including the Conover property on the Virginia Landmarks Register and nominating it to the National Register of Historic Places. The County is given the opportunity to comment within 32 days.

Mr. W.E. Bolte stated that he talked with Mrs. Hudgins and she advised him that the site had been written up by the Yorktown office. They have found chips and projectile points that date back 8,000 to 9,000 years ago. He stated that he also talked to Harold Conover, the owner of the property. Mr. Conover noticed the chips and points and wrote to the Commission to ask them to investigate it. Mr. Bolte stated he felt it was a very important find.

Mr. Hargrave asked what the County's position was in this. The County Administrator stated that the Commission was asking for the County's comments in which they had 32 days to respond. He added that if the owner wants the property put on the register, he must request it. The County Administrator suggested the Board members could read the report and get Mr. Conover's comments. If they then want to write a letter, they could do so at the June 15 meeting.

Mr. Hargrave moved that the County Administrator write a letter to the Virginia Historic Landmarks Commission, requesting that the Conover Archaeological Site be placed on the Virginia Landmarks Register and be nominated for the National Register of Historic Places, subject to Mr. Harold Conover's concurrence. Mr. Robertson seconded the motion. Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Clay, Mr. Weber voted "aye".

## IN RE: CABLETELEVISION

The County Administrator distributed a copy of correspondence concerning cabletelevision to bring the Board up-to-date. He stated they were still working on the proposal and cabletelevision is very much on the front burner.

## IN RE: RECREATION BUDGET

The County Administrator advised the Board that a request from the Recreation Director for a recreation budget has been submitted separate from the School Budget. He suggested that at this point, a committee of three to four people be put together to study the recreational needs of the County, especially the recreation field across from the high school. The request includes, among other things, a building, well and sewage system for bathrooms. He stated the committee could report back with their recommendations for the best location of these items, cost, etc.

Mr. Hargrave stated that they have had so much trouble with vandalism and abuse of the recreational field being located in an isolated area. He felt there is a lot of use of the field, but there are also a lot of ball fields in the County which are privately developed. He felt hesitant to make it too nice and be disappointed with the use.

Mr. Clay stated he agreed with Mr. Hargrave. He indicated the Ruritan Club to which he belongs, has had to rebuild their facilities every year because of vandalism to bathrooms and other parts of the building. He wondered if the County would just be asking for trouble.

Mr. Hargrave added that if the Board appoints a Committee, the committee will probably expect and design a lot. Then what the County doesn't build will seem to these individuals that it is being taken away from them. He added that all the lights at the tennis courts have been broken out.

Mr. Weber stated he was in favor of recreation and he would like to see a committee do a study. He added he would like to see more of a recreational area at Rohoic Elementary School.

Mr. Hargrave stated that people take better care if they have their own money invested. Those who use the facilities should pay for them.

Mr. Weber stated he didn't think a study would hurt. The information might be beneficial.

Mr. Robertson stated that he agreed with Mr. Hargrave and Mr. Clay about the problems of vandalism and misuse. He wondered how long they were going to keep punishing 90% of the citizens for what 10% were doing. He hoped they could resolve that problem. Mr. Robertson felt a study would be alright as long as the expectations aren't too high. Mr. Hargrave agreed stating all they need is a concrete building.

No action was taken.

IN RE: SOCIAL SERVICES GRANTS

The County Administrator presented a request from the Social Services department to authorize the Treasurer to establish accounts to receive and disburse monies from the following programs:

- Federal Emergency Management Agency 6/1/83 9/30/83 \$4,515
- Social Services to the Unemployed 6/1/83 6/30/84 \$7,745
- Services to the Disadvantaged (effective dates and amount of grant to be announced later).

Also included is an allotment of \$14,058 to reimburse the operation of the Employment Services program mandated by the 1982 General Assembly. Mrs. Talley, therefore, requests that the Treasurer be authorized to transfer \$11,000 from the 80/20 administrative account into a new Employment Services Account in order to receive maximum reimbursement.

Upon motion of Mr. Clay, seconded by Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Clay, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Weber voting "aye", the Treasurer is hereby authorized to:

- Establish accounts to receive and disburse funds from the Jobs and Humanitarian Assistance Bill as follows:
  - Federal Emergency Management Agency \$4,515
  - b.
  - Social Services to the Unemployed \$7,745 Services to the Disadvantaged (to be announced later).
- Establish an Employment Services Account to receive \$14,058 for the Employment Services Program and transfer \$11,000 into it from the 80/20 Administrative Account.

IN RE: KARL CROWDER LAND

The County Administrator stated that he had been contacted by Karl Crowder concerning an old road that runs through his property that the County may have an interest in. The County has no need for the road and when the property is researched, the County should deed the land to Mr. Crowder to give him clear title to his property. The County Administrator indicated this has been done on several occasions with similar types of property and he wanted to apprise the Board of what procedure would be taken. No action is needed until the June 15 meeting.

IN RE: RECESS

The Chairman declared a brief recess at 8:50 P.M. meeting reconvened at 9:02 P.M.

EXECUTIVE SESSION IN RE:

Upon motion of Mr. Robertson, seconded by Mr. Clay, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennett, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Weber voting "aye", pursuant to Secs. 2.1-344(1) and (6), the Board moved into Executive Session at 9:02 P.M. to discuss legal and personnel matters. The meeting reconvened into Open Session at 9:40 P.M. IN RE: INFORMATION

The following information was included in the Board material for the May 26, 1983 meeting:

- 1. Invitation from the Virginia Cooperative Extension Service to participate in an Educational Tour-Conference as an adjunct to the 48th Annual Meeting of the National Association of
- Virginia Tax Bulletin Property Taxation: 1983 Legislative Changes.
  - Memo from the Va. Association of Counties concerning:

WEBER,

- Fair Insurance Practices Act
- Non Discrimination in Insurance Act (b)
- (c)
- Economic Equity Act, Title III Cable Telecommunications Act of 1983 Audit Specifications Modifications (d)
- (e)

IN RE: ADJOURNMENT

Upon motion of Mr. Hargrave, seconded by Mr. Robertson, Mr. Hargrave, Mr. Robertson, Mr. Clay, Mr. Bennert, Mr. Weber voting "aye", the meeting adjourned at 9:41 P.M.

ATTEST://